

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addross: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/084,184	02/28/2002	Masayuki Miyamoto	1248-0579P	4910
2292	7590 12/23/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			CHOE, HENRY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Art Unit

2817

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/084,184

**Henry Choe** 

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Miyamoto



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>						
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from t - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	he mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 28, 2002	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-54</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
7)	is/are objected to.					
8) X Claims 1-54 are subject to	restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ o	bjected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approximately	oved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been rece						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413	) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent App	lication (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Art Unit:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Figures 23-25 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which
is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected
drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The
objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Election/Restriction

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

<u>Species</u>	Figure(s).
I	2
II	3(a)
III	4
IV	5
V	6, 7
VI	8
VII	10
VIII	11

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/084184

Art Unit:

IX	12
X	13-17
XI	18
XII	19
XIII	20, 21
XIV	22(a)
XV	22(b)
XVI	26

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/084184 Page 4

Art Unit:

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Charles Gorenstein on 12/20/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (703) 305-0576. If attempts to

Page 5

Art Unit:

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Pascal, can be reached on (703) 308-4909.

Name: Henry Choe

Art Unit: 2817